

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

COMMISSIONER LOK LATERIES
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
WWW HEREN GOV

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/941,371	08/28/2001	Mark Kintis	12-1201	6016
75	7590 01/13/2006		EXAM	AMINER
Katten Muchin	Rosenman LLP		FILE, ERIN M	
525 West Monro Chicago, IL 60		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2634	
			DATE MAILED: 01/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/941,371	KINTIS, MARK				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Erin M. File	2634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app						
Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. sely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 Oc	ctober 2005.					
,	·					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,12-15 and 28-32</u> is/are pending in	the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,12-15 and 28-32</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>28 August 2001</u> is/are:	a) $\boxtimes$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected	to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
<ol><li>Certified copies of the priority document</li></ol>						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stage				
application from the International Bureau		ad				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	or the certified copies not receive	ea.				
Attachment(s)	0 □ I=4a=±= C:=====	(/DTO 413)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/941,371 Page 2

Art Unit: 2634

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 28 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorson in view of Horiguchi et al.

### **Claim 1**, Thorson discloses a circuit comprising:

- a first mixer stage (fig 1) including a mixer (122) with first (133) and second (221)
   input ports and a first output port (117)
- a second mixer stage which including a second mixer (120) with third (131) and forth (219) input ports and a second output port (115) with first input port (133)
   electrically coupled to third input port (131)
- A phase modulator (242) for phase modulating a first local oscillator signal (113)
   electrically coupled to first input port (133)
- An inverse phase modulator (240) for inverse phase modulating a second local oscillator signal (111) electrically coupled to third input port

Application/Control Number: 09/941,371 Page 3

Art Unit: 2634

Thorson does not specifically disclose a modulator modulated according to a pseudorandom number (PN) code. Horiguchi teaches a pseudorandom number (PN) code generator (fig 14, 51) controlling a phase modulators (47) that outputs a mixer. The use of a PN code to synchronize BPSK modulators is well known in the art and would be obvious at the time of invention to incorporate PN code controller such as Horiguchi's into Thorson's mixing device.

Claim 28, contains all of the limitations of Claim 1.

3. Claims 2-5, 12, 13, 14, 29, 30 and 32 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorson in view of Horiguchi et al. and Underbrink et al.

Claim 2, inherits the limitations of Claim 1. Thorson does not specifically disclose a phase shift keying (PSK) modulator. However, a PSK modulation is a very general type of phase modulation in which digital information is modulated by changes in phase angle. In his apparatus for producing a modulated signal Underbrink discloses the use of PSK modulation in his digital modulation technique (abstract). Because of the prevalence of digital data in communications systems it would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use PSK modulator for a Phase Modulator at the time of invention.

Claim 3, inherits the limitations of Claim 1. Thorson does not specifically disclose an inverse phase shift keying (PSK) modulator. Because of the prevalence of PSK modulation in digital communications, for reasons listed in the paragraph above, it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use an inverse PSK modulator for an inverse Phase Modulator.

Claim 4, inherits the limitations of claim 2. Thorson does not specifically disclose a binary phase shift keying (BPSK) modulator modulated according to a pseudorandom number (PN) code. A BPSK modulator is a common type of phase modulation device. The reasons for using BPSK as a phase modulation technique are discussed in preceding paragraphs. Horiguchi teaches a pseudorandom number (PN) code generator (fig 14, 51) controlling a phase modulators (47) that outputs a mixer. The use of a PN code to synchronize BPSK modulators is well known in the art and would be obvious at the time of invention to incorporate PN code controller such as Horiguchi's into Thorson's mixing device.

Claim 5, contains the limitations of claim 4. Neither Thorson nor Horiguchi disclose an inverse BPSK modulator. The reasons for using BPSK as a phase modulation technique are discussed in preceding paragraphs. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to use an inverse BPSK modulator for an inverse Phase Modulator.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,371

Art Unit: 2634

Claim 12, inherits all of the limitation in claim 1, Thorson does not specifically disclose a quaternary phase shift keying (QPSK) modulator. A QPSK modulator is a common type of PSK modulator. In his digital modulation technique Underbrink discloses the use of QPSK modulation as a type of PSK modulation in which four carrier phases are used (col 7, lines 65-68). QPSK modulation is commonly used in the art because of its high transmission efficiency rate and would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use QPSK modulator for a Phase Modulator at the time of invention.

Claim 13, contains all of the limitations of Claim 3.

Claim 14, inherits all of the limitation in claim 1, Thorson does not specifically disclose an M-ary modulator and inverse M-ary modulator. In his digital modulation technique Underbrink discloses the use of M-ary, or MPSK modulation as a type of PSK modulation in which multiple carrier phases are used (col 8, lines 1-4). M-ary, or multiple phase modulation, is advantageous because it produces improved error performance. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use an M-ary modulator and inverse M-ary modulator for a Phase Modulator and inverse Phase Modulator at the time of invention.

Claim 29, inherits all of the limitation in claim 28. Thorson does not specifically disclose a binary phase shift keying (BPSK) modulator and inverse modulator. However, BPSK is a common type of phase modulation. In his digital modulation technique Underbrink

Art Unit: 2634

discloses the use of BPSK modulation as a type of PSK modulation in which two carrier phases are used (col 7, lines 45-63). BPSK modulation is commonly used because of its simplicity and high tolerance to noise. It would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use BPSK modulation and inverse modulation for a Phase Modulation and inverse Modulation at the time of invention.

Claim 30, includes all of the limitations of Claim 12.

Claim 32, includes the limitations of Claim 14.

4. Claims 15 and 31 are rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorson in view of Horiguchi et al. and Scott.

Claim 15, inherits all of the limitation in claim 1, Thorson does not specifically disclose a GMSK modulator and inverse modulator. However in his modulation device Scott teaches a phase modulator with an alternate embodiment that includes the use of GMSK modulation instead of PSK modulation (col 18, line 60). Because GMSK is a type of phase modulation and has the benefit of reducing the bandwidth required to modulate signals if would be obvious to one skilled in the art to use the GMSK modulator and inverse modulator in Thorson's apparatus at the time of invention.

Claim 31, includes all of the limitations of Claim 15.

Application/Control Number: 09/941,371

Art Unit: 2634

5. Claim 6 is rejected under U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Thorson in view of Horiguchi et al. and Koslov et al.

Claim 6, inherits the limitations of Claim 1, Thorson does not disclose a configuration in which an intermediate filter coupled between the first mixer's output port and one of the second mixer's input ports. However, Koslov teaches a first mixer (fig 16, 602) controlled by a local oscillator (608) connected to an filter (604) coupled to a second mixer (606) controlled by a local oscillator (610). The use of a filter between the mixing units is advantageous because they reduce leakage that can occur from local oscillator inputs. Because of this it would be obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of invention to incorporate this means into Thorson's apparatus.

### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/941,371

Art Unit: 2634

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Erin M. File whose telephone number is (571)272-6040.

The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Stephen Chin can be reached on (571)272-3056. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Erin M. File

12.20.2005

STEPHEN CHIN

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINE

Page 8

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600